arXiv:math/0511553v1 [math.QA] 22 Nov 2005

# Derivations and 2-Cocycles of Contact Lie Algebras Related to Locally-Finite Derivations[1]

(appeared in *Comm. Alg.* **32** (2004), 4613–4631.)

Guang'an Song and Yucai Su
Department of Mathematics, Shanghai Jiaotong University
Shanghai 200030, P. R. China
Email: gasong@sjtu.edu.cn, ycsu@sjtu.edu.cn

**Abstract**. Classical contact Lie algebras are the fundamental algebraic structures on the manifolds of contact elements of configuration spaces in classical mechanics. Xu introduced a large category of contact simple Lie algebras which are related to locally finite derivations and are in general not finitely graded. The isomorphism classes of these Lie algebras were determined in a previous paper by Xu and Su. In this paper, the derivation algebras and the 2-cohomology groups of these Lie algebras are determined, and it is obtained that the 2-cohomology groups of these Lie algebras are all trivial.

**Key words:** Lie algebras of contact type, structure, derivation, 2-cocycle

## §1. Introduction

One of the four well-known classes of infinite dimensional simple Lie algebras of Cartan type is the class of contact Lie algebras. Classical contact Lie algebras are the fundamental algebraic structures on the manifolds of contact elements of configuration spaces in classical mechanics. Simple contact Lie algebras have been studied by Kac [2, 3], Osborn [5], Osborn and Zhao [6], and Xu [9, 10]. In [9], Xu constructed a class of contact Lie algebras based on the pairs $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{D})$, where $\mathcal{A}$ is a commutative associative unital algebra and $\mathcal{D}$ is a finite dimensional commutative subalgebra of locally finite derivations of $\mathcal{A}$ such that $\mathcal{A}$ does not have proper $\mathcal{D}$-stable ideal. From the classification of such pairs $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{D})$ in [8], it is known that the class of contact Lie algebras in [9] is the largest class under this locally finite condition.

In [7], Xu and Su determined the isomorphism classes of the contact Lie algebras given in [9]. Osborn and Zhao [6] determined the isomorphism classes of the contact Lie algebras constructed by themselves. The problem of determining the derivation algebras and the 2-cohomology groups of the Lie algebras given in [6] remains unsolved. In this paper, we shall solve this problem for the contact Lie algebras given in [9] (thus also solve the problem for the Lie algebras given in [6]).

The significance of derivations for Lie theory, as pointed in [1], resides in their affinity to the cohomology groups, their determination affords insight into structural features of Lie algebras which do not figure prominently in the defining properties. Some general results concerning derivations of finitely graded Lie algebras were established in [1]. However in our case the Lie algebras are in general not finitely graded, the results of [1] can not apply here, thus the determination of the derivation algebras of Lie algebras of this kind is a nontrivial problem. We use a different technique to solve the problem here.

The 2-cohomology groups of Lie algebras play important roles in the central extensions of Lie algebras, which are often used in the structure theory and the representation theory of Kac-Moody algebras (cf. [4]). Since the cohomology groups are closely related to the structures of Lie algebras, the computation of cohomology groups seems to be important and interesting as well.

In Section 2, we shall present the contact simple Lie algebras given in [9] in what we call the *normalized forms* (cf. [7]). Then the determinations of derivation algebras and

---

[1] 1991 Mathematical Subject Classification. Primary 17B65; Secondary 58F05

Supported by NSF grant 10171064 of China and two grants "Excellent Young Teacher Program" and "Trans-Century Training Programme Foundation for the Talents" from Ministry of Education of China

2-cohomology groups of these Lie algebras are given in Sections 3 and 4 (see Theorems 3.1 and 4.6) and we obtain that the 2-cohomology groups of these Lie algebras are all trivial.

## §2. Normalized forms

For $m,n\in\mathbb{Z}$, we denote $\overline{m,n}=\{m,m+1,...,n\}$. Let $\vec{\ell}=(\ell_1,...,\ell_6)\in\mathbb{N}^6$ such that $\sum_{p=1}^{6}\ell_p>0$. We denote

$$\iota_0=0,\quad \iota_i=\ell_1+\ell_2+...+\ell_i,\quad I_i=\overline{\iota_{i-1}+1,\iota_i}\quad\text{for}\quad i=1,2,...,6. \tag{2.1}$$

Set $I_{i,j}=\cup_{i\le p\le j}I_p=\overline{\iota_{i-1}+1,\iota_j}$ for $1\le i\le j\le 6$. Set

$$I=I_{1,6}=\overline{1,\iota_6},\quad J=\overline{1,2\iota_6},\quad \widehat{K}=\{0\}\cup K\quad\text{for}\quad K\subset J. \tag{2.2}$$

Define the map $^{-}\colon J\to J$ to be the index shifting of $\iota_6$ steps in $J$, i.e.,

$$\overline{p}=p+\iota_6\ \text{ if }\ p\in\overline{1,\iota_6},\quad\text{or}\ \ \overline{p}=p-\iota_6\ \text{ if }\ p\in\overline{\iota_6+1,2\iota_6}. \tag{2.3}$$

For any subset $K$ of $J$, we denote $\overline{K}=\{\overline{p}\,|\,p\in K\}$. Thus $J=I\cup\overline{I}$. Set $J_i=I_i\cup\overline{I}_i$, $J_{i,j}=I_{i,j}\cup\overline{I}_{i,j}$.

An element of the vector space $\mathbb{F}^{1+2\iota_6}$ is denoted as

$$\alpha=(\alpha_0,\alpha_1,\alpha_{\overline{1}},...,\alpha_{\iota_6},\alpha_{\overline{\iota}_6})\ \text{ with }\ \alpha_p\in\mathbb{F}\ \text{ for all }\ p\in\widehat{J}. \tag{2.4}$$

For $\alpha\in\mathbb{F}^{1+2\iota_6}$ and $K\subset J$, we denote by $\alpha_{K}$ the vector obtained from $\alpha$ with support $K$, i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha_{K}=&(\beta_0,\beta_1,\beta_{\overline{1}},...,\beta_{\iota_6},\beta_{\overline{\iota}_6})\\ &\text{with }\ \beta_p=0\ \text{ if }\ p\notin K\ \text{ and }\ \beta_p=\alpha_p\ \text{ if }\ p\in K.\end{aligned} \tag{2.5}$$

When the context is clear, we also use $\alpha_K$ to denote the element in $\mathbb{F}^{|K|}$ obtained from $\alpha$ by deleting the coordinates at $p\in\overline{0,2\iota}\backslash K$; for instance, $\alpha_{\{1,\overline{2}\}}=(\alpha_1,\alpha_{\overline{2}})$. Moreover, we denote

$$a_{[p]}=(0,...,0,\overset{p}{a},0,...,0)\in\mathbb{F}^{1+2\iota}\qquad\text{for }\ a\in\mathbb{F}. \tag{2.6}$$

Take

$$\sigma_{\overline{p}}=\sigma_p=\begin{cases}-1_{[p]}-1_{[\overline{p}]} & \text{if }\ p\in I_{1,3},\\ -1_{[p]} & \text{if }\ p\in I_{4,5},\\ 0 & \text{if }\ p\in\widehat{I}_6.\end{cases} \tag{2.7}$$

Let $\Gamma$ be an additive subgroup of $\mathbb{F}^{1+2\iota_6}$ such that

$$\{1_{[p]}\,|\,p\in J_{1,3}\cup I_{4,5}\}\subset\Gamma\subset\{\alpha\in\mathbb{F}^{1+2\iota_6}\,|\,\alpha_{I_6\cup\overline{I}_{4,6}}=0\},\ \text{and} \tag{2.8}$$

$$1_{[0]}\in\Gamma\text{ if }\Gamma_0\neq\{0\}, \tag{2.9}$$

where in general, we define $\Gamma_p=\{\alpha_p\ |\ (\alpha_0,\alpha_1,\alpha_{\overline{1}},...,\alpha_{\iota_6},\alpha_{\overline{\iota}_6})\in\Gamma\}$.

Take $\mathscr{J}_0=\{0\}$ or $\mathbb{N}$ such that $\mathscr{J}_0+\Gamma_0\neq\{0\}$, and

$$\mathscr{J}_1=\{\mathbf{i}\in\mathbb{N}^{\,2\iota_6}\,|\,\mathbf{i}_{I_{1,2}\cup I_4\cup\overline{I}_1}=0\}, \tag{2.10}$$

and set $\mathscr{J}=(\mathscr{J}_0,\mathscr{J}_1)\subset\mathbb{N}^{1+2\ell_6}$, an additive subsemigroup. An element of $\mathscr{J}$ is denoted as

$$\vec{i}=(i_0,\mathbf{i})=(i_0)_{[0]}+\mathbf{i},\ \text{with }i_0\in\mathscr{J}_0,\mathbf{i}\in\mathscr{J}_1. \tag{2.11}$$

Let $\mathcal{A}$ be the semigroup algebra $\mathbb{F}[\Gamma\times\mathcal{J}]$ with basis $B$ and multiplication $\cdot$ defined by

$$\begin{aligned}&B=\{x^{\alpha,\vec{i}}\,|\,(\alpha,\vec{i})\in\Gamma\times\mathcal{J}\},\\&x^{\alpha,\vec{i}}\cdot x^{\beta,\vec{j}}=x^{\alpha+\beta,\vec{i}+\vec{j}}\ \text{ for }\ (\alpha,\vec{i}),(\beta,\vec{j})\in\Gamma\times\mathcal{J}.\end{aligned}\tag{2.12}$$

Then $(\mathcal{A},\cdot)$ forms a commutative associative algebra with $1=x^{0,0}$ as the identity element. For convenience, we often denote

$$x^{\alpha}=x^{\alpha,0},\quad t^{\vec{i}}=x^{0,\vec{i}},\quad t_p=t^{1_{[p]}}\qquad\text{for } p\in\widehat{J}.\tag{2.13}$$

In particular, $t^{\vec{i}}=\prod_{p\in\widehat{J}}t_p^{i_p}$.

Define the operators $\{\partial_p,\partial_p^*,\partial_{t_p}\,|\,p\in\widehat{J}\}$ on $\mathcal{A}$ by (in fact, they are derivations of $(\mathcal{A},\cdot)$)

$$\partial_p=\partial_p^*+\partial_{t_p}\ \text{ and }\ \partial_p^*(x^{\alpha,\vec{i}})=\alpha_p x^{\alpha,\vec{i}},\quad \partial_{t_p}(x^{\alpha,\vec{i}})=i_p x^{\alpha,\vec{i}-1_{[p]}},\tag{2.14}$$

for $p\in\widehat{J},(\alpha,\vec{i})\in\Gamma\times\mathcal{J}$, where we always use the convention that $x^{\alpha,\vec{i}}=0$ if $(\alpha,\vec{i})\notin\Gamma\times\mathcal{J}$. In particular,

$$\partial_p^*=0,\quad \partial_{t_q}=0\ \text{ for }\ p\in I_6\cup\overline{I}_{4,6},\ q\in I_{1,2}\cup I_4\cup\overline{I}_1,\tag{2.15}$$

by (2.8), (2.10). We call $\partial_p^*$ a *grading operator* if $\partial_p^*\neq 0$; $\partial_{t_q}$ a *down-grading operators* if $\partial_{t_q}\neq 0$; and $\partial_r^*+\partial_{t_r}$ a *mixed operator* if $\partial_r^*\neq 0\neq\partial_{t_r}$. Then the types of derivation pairs in the order of the groups $\{(\partial_p,\partial_{\bar{p}})\,|\,p\in I_i\}$ for $i\in\overline{1,6}$ are

$$(g,g),\ (g,m),\ (m,m),\ (g,d),\ (m,d),\ (d,d),\tag{2.16}$$

where “m”, “g”, “d” stand for mixed, grading, down-grading operators.

We denote

$$\partial=\sum_{p\in J_{1,3}\cup I_{4,5}}\partial_p^*+\sum_{p\in I_6\cup\overline{I}_{4,6}}t_p\partial_{t_p}.\tag{2.17}$$

Define the following Lie bracket $[\cdot,\cdot]$ on $\mathcal{A}$:

$$\begin{aligned}[u,v]=&\sum_{p\in I}x^{\sigma_p}(\partial_p(u)\partial_{\overline{p}}(v)-\partial_{\overline{p}}(u)\partial_p(v))\\&+(2-\partial)(u)\partial_0(v)-\partial_0(u)(2-\partial)(v),\end{aligned}\tag{2.18}$$

for $u,v\in\mathcal{A}$ (cf. (2.3)). Then $(\mathcal{A},[\cdot,\cdot])$ forms a contact Lie algebra, which is in general not finitely-graded. The algebras $(\mathcal{A},[\cdot,\cdot])$ are the normalized forms of the contact simple Lie algebras given in [9]. We denote the Lie algebra $(\mathcal{A},[\cdot,\cdot])$ by

$$\mathcal{K}=\mathcal{K}(\vec{\ell},\sigma,\Gamma,\mathcal{J}),\ \text{ where } \sigma=\sum_{p\in I_{1,5}}\sigma_p.\tag{2.19}$$

Then the Lie algebras given in [6] are the Lie algebras $\mathcal{K}(\vec{\ell},\sigma,\Gamma,\{0\})$ with $\vec{\ell}=(\ell_1,0,0,0,0,0)$.

## §3. Structure of derivation algebras

In this section, we shall determine $\mathrm{Der}\,\mathcal{K}$. Recall that a *derivation* $d$ of the Lie algebra $\mathcal{K}$ is a linear transformation on $\mathcal{K}$ such that

$$d([u_1,u_2])=[d(u_1),u_2]+[u_1,d(u_2)]\ \text{ for }\ u_1,u_2\in\mathcal{K}.\tag{3.1}$$

Denote by $\mathrm{Der}\,\mathcal{K}$ the space of the derivations of $\mathcal{K}$, which is a Lie algebra. Moreover, the space $\mathrm{ad}\,\mathcal{K} = \{\mathrm{ad}\,u \,|\, u \in \mathcal{K}\}$ is an ideal. Elements in $\mathrm{ad}\,\mathcal{K}$ are called *inner derivations*, while elements in $\mathrm{Der}\,\mathcal{K}\backslash\mathrm{ad}\,\mathcal{K}$ are called *outer derivations*.

We give the explicit form of (2.18) as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
[x^{\alpha,\vec{i}}, x^{\beta,\vec{j}}] = &\sum_{p\in I_{1,3}} (\alpha_p\beta_{\overline{p}} - \alpha_{\overline{p}}\beta_p)x^{\sigma_p+\alpha+\beta,\vec{i}+\vec{j}} \\
&+ \sum_{p\in I_{2,5}} (\alpha_p j_{\overline{p}} - i_{\overline{p}}\beta_p)x^{\sigma_p+\alpha+\beta,\vec{i}+\vec{j}-1_{[\overline{p}]}} \\
&+ \sum_{p\in I_3} (i_p\beta_{\overline{p}} - j_p\alpha_{\overline{p}})x^{\sigma_p+\alpha+\beta,\vec{i}+\vec{j}-1_{[p]}} \\
&+ \sum_{p\in I_3\cup I_{5,6}} (i_p j_{\overline{p}} - i_{\overline{p}} j_p)x^{\sigma_p+\alpha+\beta,\vec{i}+\vec{j}-1_{[p]}-1_{[\overline{p}]}} \\
&+((2-\vartheta(\alpha,\vec{i}))\beta_0 - \alpha_0(2-\vartheta(\beta,\vec{j})))x^{\alpha+\beta,\vec{i}+\vec{j}} \\
&+((2-\vartheta(\alpha,\vec{i}))j_0 - i_0(2-\vartheta(\beta,\vec{j})))x^{\alpha+\beta,\vec{i}+\vec{j}-1_{[0]}},
\end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

for $(\alpha,\vec{i}),(\beta,\vec{j}) \in \Gamma\times\vec{J}$, where

$$\vartheta(\alpha,\vec{i}) = \sum_{p\in J_{1,3}\cup I_{4,5}} \alpha_p + \sum_{p\in I_6\cup\overline{I}_{4,6}} i_p \qquad \text{for } (\alpha,\vec{i}) \in \Gamma\times\mathcal{J}. \tag{3.3}$$

In particular,

$$[1, x^{\beta,\vec{j}}] = 2\beta_0 x^{\beta,\vec{j}} + 2j_0 x^{\beta,\vec{j}-1_{[0]}}, \tag{3.4}$$

$$[x^{-\sigma_p}, x^{\beta,\vec{j}}] = \begin{cases} (\beta_{\overline{p}} - \beta_p)x^{\beta,\vec{j}} & \text{for } p\in I_1, \\ (\beta_{\overline{p}} - \beta_p)x^{\beta,\vec{j}} + j_{\overline{p}}x^{\beta,\vec{j}-1_{[\overline{p}]}} & \text{for } p\in I_2, \\ (\beta_{\overline{p}} - \beta_p)x^{\beta,\vec{j}} - j_p x^{\beta,\vec{j}-1_{[p]}} + j_{\overline{p}}x^{\beta,\vec{j}-1_{[\overline{p}]}} & \text{for } p\in I_3, \end{cases} \tag{3.5}$$

$$[x^{-\sigma_q,1_{[\overline{q}]}}, x^{\beta,\vec{j}}] = \begin{cases} (-\beta_q + j_{\overline{q}})x^{\beta,\vec{j}} & \text{for } q\in I_4, \\ (-\beta_q + j_{\overline{q}})x^{\beta,\vec{j}} - j_q x^{\beta,\vec{j}-1_{[q]}} & \text{for } q\in I_5, \end{cases} \tag{3.6}$$

$$[t^{1_{[r]}+1_{[\overline{r}]}}, x^{\beta,\vec{j}}] = (j_{\overline{r}} - j_r)x^{\beta,\vec{j}} \text{ for } r\in I_6. \tag{3.7}$$

We shall find out all possible derivations of $\mathcal{K}$. First, observe from definition (2.14) and (2.18) that for $p\in\overline{I}_2\cup J_3\cup I_5$, the operator $\partial_{t_p}$ is an outer derivation of $\mathcal{K}$. Next, denote by $\mathrm{Hom}'_{\mathbb{Z}}(\Gamma,\mathbb{F})$ the set of group homomorphisms $\mu:\Gamma\to\mathbb{F}$ such that $\mu(\sigma_p)=0$ for $p\in I_{1,5}$. For $\mu\in\mathrm{Hom}'_{\mathbb{Z}}(\Gamma,\mathbb{F})$, we define a linear transformation $d_\mu$ on $\mathcal{K}$ by

$$d_\mu(x^{\alpha,\vec{i}}) = \mu(\alpha)x^{\alpha,\vec{i}} \quad \text{for} \quad (\alpha,\vec{i})\in\Gamma\times\mathcal{J}. \tag{3.8}$$

Clearly, by (3.2), $d_\mu$ is a derivation of $\mathcal{K}$. We identify $\mathrm{Hom}'_{\mathbb{Z}}(\Gamma,\mathbb{F})$ with a subspace of $\mathrm{Der}\,\mathcal{K}$ by $\mu\mapsto d_\mu$. For $p\in I_{1,3}$, we define $\mu_p\in\mathrm{Hom}'_{\mathbb{Z}}(\Gamma,\mathbb{F})$ by $\mu_p(\alpha)=\alpha_{\overline{p}}-\alpha_p$. By (3.5), we have

$$d_{\mu_p} = \mathrm{ad}\,x^{-\sigma_p} + \partial_{t_p} - \partial_{t_{\overline{p}}} \quad \text{for} \quad p\in I_{1,3}. \tag{3.9}$$

We also define $\mu'_0 : \alpha \mapsto \alpha_0$. If $\mathcal{J}_0 = \mathbb{N}$, we see that $d_{\mu'_0} = \frac{1}{2}(\mathrm{ad}\, 1 - \partial_{t_0})$ is an outer derivation; in this case we set $\mu_0 = 0$. If $\mathcal{J}_0 = \{0\}$, then $d_{\mu'_0} = \mathrm{ad}\, 1$ is an inner derivation; in this case, we set $\mu_0 = \mu'_0$. We fix a subspace $\mathrm{Hom}^*_{\mathbb{Z}}(\Gamma, \mathbb{F})$ of $\mathrm{Hom}'_{\mathbb{Z}}(\Gamma, \mathbb{F})$ such that

$$\mathrm{Hom}'_{\mathbb{Z}}(\Gamma, \mathbb{F}) = \mathrm{Hom}^*_{\mathbb{Z}}(\Gamma, \mathbb{F}) \oplus \mathrm{span}\{\mu_p \,|\, p \in \widehat{I}_{1,3}\}, \tag{3.10}$$

is a direct sum as vector spaces. Then we have
**Theorem 3.1**. *The derivation algebra* Der $\mathcal{K}$ *is a direct of subspaces:*

$$\mathrm{Der}\ \mathcal{K} = \bigoplus_{p \in \overline{I}_2 \cup J_3 \cup I_5} \mathbb{F}\partial_{t_p} \bigoplus \mathrm{Hom}^*_{\mathbb{Z}}(\Gamma, \mathbb{F}) \bigoplus \mathrm{ad}\, \mathcal{K}. \tag{3.11}$$

*Proof.* First we prove that the right-hand side of (3.11) is the direct sum. Thus suppose

$$d = \sum_{p \in \overline{I}_2 \cup J_3 \cup I_5} c_p \partial_{t_p} + d_\mu + \sum_{(\alpha, \vec{i}) \in \Gamma \times \mathcal{J}} c_{\alpha, \vec{i}}\, \mathrm{ad}\, x^{\alpha, \vec{i}},$$

is the zero derivation, where $c_p, c_{\alpha,\vec{i}} \in \mathbb{F}, \mu \in \mathrm{Hom}^*_{\mathbb{Z}}(\Gamma, \mathbb{F})$ such that $\{(\alpha, \vec{i}) \in \Gamma \times \mathcal{J} \,|\, c_{\alpha,\vec{i}} \neq 0\}$ is a finite set. Applying $d$ to $x^{-\sigma_q, 1_{[\overline{q}]}}, t^{2_{[\overline{q}]}}$ for $q \in I_{4,5}$, we obtain that

$$c_{\alpha,\vec{i}} \neq 0 \ \Rightarrow \ \alpha_q = i_{\overline{q}} = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad q \in I_{4,5}.$$

In particular, $c_{-\sigma_q, 1_{[\overline{q}]}} = 0$ for $q \in I_{4,5}$. Applying $d$ to $x^\beta$ for $\beta \in \Gamma$, by calculating the coefficients of $x^{\beta, \vec{j}}$ with $\sum_{p \in \hat{J}} j_p$ being maximal and by calculating the coefficient of $x^\beta$, we obtain

$$\mu(\beta) + c_{0,0}\beta_0 + \sum_{p \in I_{1,3}} c_{-\sigma_p, 0}(\beta_p - \beta_{\overline{p}}) = 0 \quad \text{for all} \quad \beta \in \Gamma. \tag{3.12}$$

If $\mathcal{J}_0 = \{0\}$, then by (3.10), we obtain $\mu = 0$, $c_{0,0} = c_{-\sigma_p} = 0$ for $p \in I_{1,3}$; if $\mathcal{J}_0 = \mathbb{N}$, applying $d$ to $t_0$, we obtain in particular $c_{0,0} = 0$, then (3.10) and (3.12) again give $\mu = 0$, $c_{-\sigma_p} = 0$ for $p \in I_{1,3}$. Now applying $d$ to the set

$$\{1, s, x^{-\sigma_p}, x^{2_{[p]}}, x^{2_{[\overline{p}]}}, x^{-\sigma_q, 1_{[\overline{q}]}}, x^{2_{[q]}}, t^{2_{[\overline{q}]}}, t^{1_{[r]} + 1_{[\overline{r}]}}, t^{2_{[r]}}, t^{2_{[\overline{r}]}} \,| \\ p \in I_{1,3}, q \in I_{4,5}, r \in I_6\},$$

where $s = 0$ if $\Gamma_0 \neq \{0\}$ and $s = t_0$ if $\Gamma_0 = \{0\}$, we obtain by induction on $\sum_{p \in \hat{J}} i_p$ that $c_{\alpha,\vec{i}} = 0$ for all $(\alpha, \vec{i}) \in \Gamma \times \mathcal{J}$. Finally applying $d$ to $t_p$ for $p \in \overline{I}_2 \cup J_3 \cup I_5$, we obtain $c_p = 0$. Thus the right-hand side of (3.11) is a direct sum.

Now let $d \in \mathrm{Der}\ \mathcal{K}$ and let $D$ be the space in the right-hand side of (3.11). Note that $D \supset \mathrm{Hom}'_{\mathbb{Z}}(\Gamma, \mathbb{F})$ by (3.9) and (3.10). We shall prove that after a number of steps in each of which $d$ is replaced by $d - d'$ for some $d' \in D$ the zero derivation is obtained and thus proving that $d \in D$. This will be done by a number of claims.

**Claim 1**. Let

$$A'_1 = \{x^{-\sigma_p}, x^{-\sigma_q, 1_{[\overline{q}]}} \,|\, p \in I_{2,3}, q \in I_5\}, \tag{3.13}$$

and $A_1 = A'_1$ if $\mathcal{J}_0 = \{0\}$ or $A_1 = A'_1 \cup \{1\}$ if $\mathcal{J}_0 = \mathbb{N}$ (note that $A_1$ is the set of *ad*-locally finite but not *ad*-semisimple elements of the basis $B$). Say $A_1$ has $n_1$ elements, and list its elements as $y_1, ..., y_{n_1}$. Let $u \in \mathcal{K}$. For any $r \in \overline{1, n_1}$, we can choose $v \in \mathcal{K}$ satisfying

$u = [y_r, v]$ such that if $[y_q, u] = 0$, then $[y_q, v] = 0$ for $q \in \overline{1, r-1}$, i.e., any element $u$ of $\mathcal{K}$ is an image of the operator $\mathrm{ad}\, y_r$ such that if $u$ commutes with $y_q$, then we can find a preimage $v$ also commuting with $y_q$.

Since $u$ is a linear combination of the basis elements $x^{\alpha,\vec{i}}$, we can suppose $u = x^{\alpha,\vec{i}}$. We shall use (3.4)-(3.6) to prove the claim. Say $y_r = x^{-\sigma_p}$ for some $p \in I_3$ (otherwise the proof is similar).

If $\alpha_{\overline{p}} \neq \alpha_p$, by (3.5), we can take $v_1 = (\alpha_{\overline{p}} - \alpha_p)^{-1} x^{\alpha,\vec{i}}$ such that

$$u - [y_r, v_1] = u_1, \text{ where } u_1 = (\alpha_{\overline{p}} - \alpha_p)^{-1}(i_p x^{\alpha,\vec{i}-1_{[p]}} - i_{\overline{p}} x^{\alpha,\vec{i}-1_{[\overline{p}]}}).$$

By induction on $i_p + i_{\overline{p}}$, we can take $v_2$ such that $u_1 = [y_r, v_2]$. Thus we can find $v = v_1 + v_2$ such that $u = [y_r, v]$. Furthermore, if $[y_q, u] = 0$, we must also have $[y_q, v_1] = 0$ (by (3.4)-(3.6), since $y_q \in A_1$), and by induction, we also have $[y_q, v_2] = 0$.

Now suppose $\alpha_{\overline{p}} = \alpha_p$. Take $v_1 = (i_{\overline{p}} + 1)^{-1} x^{\alpha,\vec{i}+1_{[\overline{p}]}}$, we have

$$u - [y_r, v_1] = v_2, \text{ where } v_2 = (i_{\overline{p}} + 1)^{-1} i_p x^{\alpha,\vec{i}+1_{[\overline{p}]}-1_{[p]}}.$$

By induction on $i_p$, we also have the result. This proves the claim.

**Claim 2**. We can suppose $d(A_1) = 0$.

For $r \in \overline{1, n_1}$, suppose we have proved $d(y_q) = 0$ for $q < r$. Let $u = d(y_r)$. We have $[y_q, u] = d([y_q, y_r]) - [d(y_q), y_r] = 0$ (note that $A_1$ is commutative). By Claim 1, there is $v \in \mathcal{K}$ such that $u = [y_r, v]$ and $[y_q, v] = 0$. Replacing $d$ by $d + \mathrm{ad}\, v$, we obtain $d(y_q) = 0$ for $q \leq r$, thus the claim follows.

**Claim 3**. Let

$$A'_2 = \{x^{-\sigma_p}, x^{-\sigma_q, 1_{[\overline{q}]}}, t^{1_{[r]}+1_{[\overline{r}]}} \,|\, p \in I_1, q \in I_4, r \in I_6\}, \tag{3.14}$$

and let $A_2 = A'_2 \cup \{1\}$ if $\mathcal{J}_0 = \{0\}$ or $A_2 = A'_2$ if $\mathcal{J}_0 = \mathbb{N}$ (note that elements of $A_2$ are all *ad*-semisimple and that $A_1 \cup A_2$ is commutative). We can suppose $d(A_2) = 0$.

Say $A_2$ has $n_2$ elements and list its elements as $z_1, ..., z_{n_2}$. For $r \in \overline{1, n_2}$, suppose we have proved $d(z_q) = 0$ for $q < r$. Let $u = d(z_r)$. We have

$$[y_p, u] = d([y_p, z_r]) - [d(y_p), z_r] = 0 \ \text{ for } \ p \in \overline{1, n_1}, \tag{3.15}$$

$$[z_q, u] = d([z_q, z_r]) - [d(z_q), z_r] = -[d(z_q), z_r] = 0 \ \text{ for } \ q < r. \tag{3.16}$$

Write $u$ as a linear combination of the basis $B$ (cf. (2.12)). Suppose a term $x^{\alpha,\vec{i}}$ appears in $u$ with coefficient $c_{\alpha,\vec{i}} \neq 0$. The above two equations give

$$[y_p, x^{\alpha,\vec{i}}] = [z_q, x^{\alpha,\vec{i}}] = 0. \tag{3.17}$$

Say $z_r = x^{-\sigma_{r_1}, 1_{[\overline{r}_1]}}$ for some $r_1 \in I_4$ (otherwise the proof is similar). If $i_{\overline{r}_1} \neq \alpha_{r_1}$, then by replacing $d$ by $d + \mathrm{ad}\, v$ for $v = c_{\alpha,\vec{i}}(i_{\overline{r}_1} - \alpha_{r_1})^{-1} x^{\alpha,\vec{i}}$, we see from (3.6) that the term $x^{\alpha,\vec{i}}$ then disappears in $d(z_r)$, and furthermore, after this replacement, we see from (3.17) that $d(y_p) = d(z_q) = 0$ still holds. Thus we can suppose

$$u = d(z_r) \in \mathrm{span}\{x^{\alpha,\vec{i}} \,|\, i_{\overline{r}_1} = \alpha_{r_1}\}. \tag{3.18}$$

Now for any $x^{\beta,\vec{j}} \in \mathcal{K}$ with $\beta_{r_1} = j_{\overline{r}_1}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}[x^{\beta,\vec{j}}, u] &= d([x^{\beta,\vec{j}}, x^{-\sigma_{r_1},1_{[\overline{r}_1]}}]) - [d(x^{\beta,\vec{j}}), x^{-\sigma_{r_1},1_{[\overline{r}_1]}}] \\ &= -[d(x^{\beta,\vec{j}}), x^{-\sigma_{r_1},1_{[\overline{r}_1]}}],\end{aligned} \tag{3.19}$$

$$\begin{aligned}[x^{2_{[r_1]}}, u] &= d([x^{2_{[r_1]}}, x^{-\sigma_{r_1},1_{[\overline{r}_1]}}]) - [d(x^{2_{[r_1]}}), x^{-\sigma_{r_1},1_{[\overline{r}_1]}}] \\ &= 2d(x^{2_{[r_1]}}) - [d(x^{2_{[r_1]}}), x^{-\sigma_{r_1},1_{[\overline{r}_1]}}].\end{aligned} \tag{3.20}$$

Note from (3.18) that a nonzero term $x^{\gamma,\vec{k}}$ appearing in the left-hand side of (3.19) must satisfy $k_{\overline{r}_1} = \gamma_{r_1}$. But such a term cannot appear in any image of $\mathrm{ad}\, x^{-\sigma_{r_1},1_{[\overline{r}_1]}}$, i.e., the coefficient of such a term in the right-hand side of (3.19) is zero. Thus (3.19) is in fact zero. Similarly, a nonzero term appearing in the left-hand side of (3.20) must have the form $x^{\alpha+2_{[r_1]}+\sigma_{r_1},\vec{i}-1_{[\overline{r}_1]}} = x^{\alpha+1_{[r_1]},\vec{i}-1_{[\overline{r}_1]}}$ such that $x^{\alpha,\vec{i}}$ appears in $u$. But, if the term $x^{\alpha+1_{[r_1]},\vec{i}-1_{[\overline{r}_1]}}$ appears in the right-hand side of (3.20), then it must appear in $d(x^{2_{[r_1]}})$, and by calculation, the coefficient of such a term in the right-hand side of (3.20) is a multiple of

$$2 - ((\alpha_{r_1} + 1) - (i_{\overline{r}_1} - 1)) = i_{\overline{r}_1} - \alpha_{r_1} = 0,$$

i.e., (3.20) is also zero. Then (3.18)-(3.20) show that $u$ commutes with all elements in the set $\{x^{\beta,\vec{j}}, x^{2_{[r_1]}} \mid \beta_{r_1} = j_{\overline{r}_1}\}$. Such an element $u$ has to be zero. This completes the proof of the claim.

**Claim 4**. Let

$$A_3' = \{x^{2_{[p]}}, t^{2_{[q]}} \mid p \in I_{1,5}, q \in I_6\}, \tag{3.21}$$

and let $A_3 = A_3' \cup \{x^{2_{[0]}}\}$ if $\Gamma_0 \neq \{0\}$ or $A_3 = A_3' \cup \{t_0\}$ if $\Gamma_0 = \{0\}$. We can suppose $d(A_3) = 0$.

Consider $d(x^{2_{[r]}})$ for $r \in I_1$. Note that $x^{2_{[r]}}$ is a common eigenvector for $\mathrm{ad}\,(A_1 \cup A_2)$, and $d$ commutes with $\mathrm{ad}\,(A_1 \cup A_2)$. Thus $d(x^{2_{[r]}})$ is a common eigenvector for $\mathrm{ad}\,(A_1 \cup A_2)$. From this and (3.4)-(3.7), we obtain that if $x^{\alpha,\vec{i}}$ appears in $d(x^{2_{[r]}})$ with coefficient $c_{\alpha,\vec{i}} \neq 0$, then

$$\begin{aligned}&\alpha_0 = i_0 = 2 + \alpha_{\overline{r}} - \alpha_r = 0, \\ &\alpha_{\overline{p}_1} - \alpha_{p_1} = i_{\overline{p}_2} = i_{p_3} = i_{\overline{p}_4} - i_{p_4} = 0,\end{aligned} \tag{3.22}$$

for $p_1 \in I_{1,3}\backslash\{r\}, p_2 \in I_{2,3}, p_3 \in I_3 \cup I_5, p_4 \in I_6$. If $\alpha_{\overline{r}} \neq -1$, we take $v = (\alpha_{\overline{r}} + 1)^{-1} c_{\alpha,\vec{i}} x^{\alpha-2_{[r]}-\sigma_{[r]}}$ and replace $d$ by $d + \mathrm{ad}\, v$. Since $v$ commutes with $A_1 \cup A_2$ by (3.22), after this replacement, we still have Claims 2 and 3, but then the term $x^{\alpha,\vec{i}}$ disappears in $d(x^{2_{[r]}})$. Thus we can suppose

$$\alpha_{\overline{r}} = -1 \ \text{ if } \ x^{\alpha,\vec{i}} \ \text{ appears in } \ d(x^{2_{[r]}}). \tag{3.23}$$

Noting that $A_3$ is commutative, we have

$$[y, d(x^{2_{[r]}})] = -[d(y), x^{2_{[r]}}] \quad \text{for} \quad y \in A_3. \tag{3.24}$$

Note from (3.21) and (3.23) that any nonzero term $x^{\beta,\vec{j}}$ appearing in the left-hand side of (3.24) must satisfies $\beta_{\overline{r}} = -1$. But such a term does not appear in any image of $\mathrm{ad}\, x^{2_{[r]}}$, thus (3.24) is in fact zero. Hence $d(x^{2_{[r]}})$ is a common eigenvector for $\mathrm{ad}\,(A_1 \cup A_2 \cup A_3)$.

From this and formula (3.2), one can easily deduce that $d(x^{2_{[r]}}) = 0$. Similarly, we can prove $d(y) = 0$ for any $y \in A_3$. Thus the claim follows.

**Claim 5**. Let

$$A_4' = \{x^{2_{[\overline{p}]}}, t^{2_{[\overline{q}]}} \,|\, p \in I_{1,3}, q \in I_{4,6}\}, \tag{3.25}$$

and let $A_4 = A_4' \cup \{x^{-2_{[0]}}\}$ if $\Gamma_0 \neq \{0\}$ or $A_4 = A_4'$ if $\Gamma_0 = \{0\}$. We have $d(A_4) = 0$.

Set $B_3 = A_1 \cup A_2 \cup A_3$. Consider $d(x^{2_{[\overline{p}]}})$, $p \in I_{1,3}$. Note from formula (3.2) that $x^{2_{[\overline{p}]}}$ commutes with all elements of $B_3$ except two elements, i.e.,

$$[y, x^{2_{[\overline{p}]}}] = 0, \quad [x^{-\sigma_p}, x^{2_{[\overline{p}]}}] = 2x^{2_{[\overline{p}]}}, \quad [x^{2_{[p]}}, x^{2_{[\overline{p}]}}] = 4x^{-\sigma_p}, \tag{3.26}$$

where $y \in A_3' \backslash \{x^{-\sigma_p}, x^{2_{[p]}}\}$ (cf. (2.7)). Applying $d$ to (3.26), we obtain that $d(x^{2_{[\overline{p}]}})$, if not zero, is a common eigenvector for $\operatorname{ad} B_3$. But from (3.2), we see that $\operatorname{ad} B_3$ does not have a common eigenvector. Thus $d(x^{2_{[\overline{p}]}}) = 0$. Similarly we have $d(y) = 0$ for any $y \in A_4$. This proves the claim.

**Claim 6**. For any $p \in I_{1,3}$, let $\Gamma_p' = \{k_{[p]} + (2-k)_{[\overline{p}]} \,|\, k \in \mathbb{Z}\} \subset \Gamma$. We can suppose $d(x^\alpha) = 0$ for $\alpha = k_{[p]} + (2-k)_{[\overline{p}]} \in \Gamma_p'$ (recall notation (2.13)).

Note that if $k = 0, 1, 2$, then $\alpha = 2_{[\overline{p}]}, -\sigma_p, 2_{[p]}$ respectively, and the result follows from Claims 2-5. Now the general result can be proved as in the proof of Claim 5 by induction on $|a|$.

**Claim 7**. We can suppose $d = 0$.

For any $\vec{i} \in \mathcal{J}$, we define

$$|\vec{i}| = \sum_{p \in \widehat{J} \backslash J_6} i_p \quad \text{if} \quad \Gamma_0 \neq \{0\}, \quad \text{or} \quad |\vec{i}| = \sum_{p \in J \backslash J_6} i_p \quad \text{if} \quad \Gamma_0 = \{0\}.$$

For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we denote

$$\mathcal{K}_n = \operatorname{span}\{x^{\alpha,\vec{i}} \mid |\vec{i}| \leq n\}. \tag{3.27}$$

Inductively suppose we have proved $d(\mathcal{K}_{n-1}) = 0$. Consider $u = d(x^{\alpha,\vec{i}})$ with $|\vec{i}| = n$ and suppose a nonzero term $x^{\beta,\vec{j}}$ appears in $u$.

Assume that $p \in I_6$. Applying $d$ to

$$[t^{1_{[p]}+1_{[p]}}, x^{\alpha,\vec{i}}] = (i_{\overline{p}} - i_p)x^{\alpha,\vec{i}}, \quad [t^{2_{[\overline{p}]}}, [t^{2_{[p]}}, x^{\alpha,\vec{i}}]] = -4(i_p+1)i_{\overline{p}}x^{\alpha,\vec{i}}, \tag{3.28}$$

we obtain

$$[t^{1_{[p]}+1_{[p]}}, u] = (i_{\overline{p}} - i_p)u, \quad [t^{2_{[\overline{p}]}}, [t^{2_{[p]}}, u]] = -4(i_p+1)i_{\overline{p}}u. \tag{3.29}$$

By computing the coefficients of $x^{\beta,\vec{j}}$ in both sides of each equation in (3.29), we obtain

$$j_{\overline{p}} - j_p = i_{\overline{p}} - i_p, \quad (i_p+1)i_{\overline{p}} = (j_p+1)j_{\overline{p}},$$

from this, since $i_i, i_{\overline{p}}, j_p, j_{\overline{p}}$ are all nonnegative integers, we obtain

$$i_p = j_p, i_{\overline{p}} = j_{\overline{p}}. \tag{3.30}$$

Similarly, we can prove

$$\beta_0 = \alpha_0, \ j_0 = 0 \ \text{ if } \ \Gamma_0 \neq \{0\}, \ \text{ or } \ j_0 = i_0 \ \text{ if } \ \Gamma_0 = \{0\}, \tag{3.31}$$

$$\beta_{\overline{p}}-\beta_p=\alpha_{\overline{p}}-\alpha_p,\ (\beta_p+1)\beta_{\overline{p}}=(\alpha_p+1)\alpha_{\overline{p}},\ j_p=j_{\overline{p}}=0 \text{ for } p\in I_{1,3}, \tag{3.32}$$

$$\beta_p=\alpha_p,\quad j_p=j_{\overline{p}}=i_{\overline{p}}=0 \quad \text{for} \ \ p\in I_{4,5}, \tag{3.33}$$

and similarly, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&(-(\beta_{\overline{p}}-2)-3(\beta_p+2))(\beta_{\overline{p}}-1)\beta_{\overline{p}}\\ =&(-(\alpha_{\overline{p}}-2)-3(\alpha_p+2))(\alpha_{\overline{p}}-1)\alpha_{\overline{p}} \quad \text{for} \ \ p\in I_{1,3},\end{aligned} \tag{3.34}$$

which is the eigenvalue for $(\operatorname{ad} x^{-1_{[p]}+3_{[\overline{p}]}})(\operatorname{ad} x^{2_{[p]}})^2$ corresponding to the eigenvector $u$, and

$$\alpha_p=\alpha_{\overline{p}}=0 \quad\Rightarrow\quad \beta_p=\beta_{\overline{p}}=0 \quad \text{for} \quad p\in I_{1,3}, \tag{3.35}$$

because if $\alpha_p=\alpha_{\overline{p}}=0$ then $[x^{2_{[p]}},x^{\alpha,\vec{i}}],[x^{2_{[\overline{p}]}},x^{\alpha,\vec{i}}]\in\mathcal{K}_{n-1}$ and so $u$ commutes with both $x^{2_{[p]}}$ and $x^{2_{[\overline{p}]}}$. From (3.32), we solve that

$$(\beta_p,\beta_{\overline{p}})=(\alpha_p,\alpha_{\overline{p}}) \ \text{ or } \ (-\alpha_{\overline{p}}-1,-\alpha_p-1). \tag{3.36}$$

If $(\beta_p,\beta_{\overline{p}})\neq(\alpha_p,\alpha_{\overline{p}})$, by (3.34) and (3.36), we obtain

$$(\alpha_p+\alpha_{\overline{p}})(\alpha_p+\alpha_{\overline{p}}+1)(\alpha_p+\alpha_{\overline{p}}+2)=0 \ \text{ if } \ (\beta_p,\beta_{\overline{p}})=(-\alpha_{\overline{p}}-1,-\alpha_p-1). \tag{3.37}$$

Thus if we suppose

$$\alpha_q+\alpha_{\overline{q}}\neq 0,-1,-2 \quad \text{for all} \quad q\in\{p\in I_{1,3}\,|\,(\alpha_p,\alpha_{\overline{p}})\neq 0\}, \tag{3.38}$$

then we obtain from (3.35)-(3.37) that $\beta_p=\alpha_p$ for $p\in J_{1,3}$. This together with (3.30)-(3.33) gives that $(\beta,\vec{j})=(\alpha,\vec{i}_{J_6})$ if $\Gamma_0\neq\{0\}$ or $(\beta,\vec{j})=(\alpha,\vec{i}_{\hat{J}_6})$ if $\Gamma_0=\{0\}$. i.e., $u$ has at most one term, so we can suppose

$$d(x^{\alpha,\vec{i}})=c_{\alpha,\vec{i}}\,x^{\alpha,\vec{i}_{J_6}} \text{ if } \Gamma_0\neq\{0\}, \ \text{ or } \ d(x^{\alpha,\vec{i}})=c_{\alpha,\vec{i}}\,x^{\alpha,\vec{i}_{\hat{J}_6}} \text{ if } \Gamma_0=\{0\}, \tag{3.39}$$

for some $c_{\alpha,\vec{i}}\in\mathbb{F}$. Note that we deduce (3.39) under the condition (3.38). For $\alpha\in\Gamma$, let

$$S_\alpha=\{p\in I_{1,3}\,|\,(\alpha_p,\alpha_{\overline{p}})\neq 0,\ \alpha_p+\alpha_{\overline{p}}=0,-1,-2\} \ \text{ and } \ m_\alpha=|S_\alpha|. \tag{3.40}$$

We want to prove by induction on $m_\alpha$ the following

**Statement 1**. Condition (3.38) can be removed, i.e., (3.39) holds for all $\alpha\in\Gamma$.

Note that if we can find $(\mu,\vec{j}),(\nu,\vec{k})\in\Gamma\times\mathcal{J}$, $|\vec{j}|\leq n,|\vec{k}|\leq n$ such that

$$[x^{\mu,\vec{j}},x^{\nu,\vec{k}}]=c_1x^{\alpha,\vec{i}}+c_2x^{\alpha-\sigma_p,\vec{i}}+y, \tag{3.41}$$

for some $c_1,c_2\in\mathbb{F}$, $p\in S_\alpha, y\in\mathcal{K}_{n-1}$ with $c_1\neq 0, m_\mu=0, m_\nu<m_\alpha$, then we are done because either $p\notin S_{\alpha-\sigma_p}$ (then $m_{\alpha-\sigma_p}=m_\alpha-1$), or $p\in S_{\alpha-\sigma_p}$ (then $\alpha_p+\alpha_{\overline{p}}=-2$ and $(\alpha-\sigma_p)_p+(\alpha-\sigma_p)_{\overline{p}}=0$, and we can use induction on $\alpha_p+\alpha_{\overline{p}}$), and we can use (3.1), the induction on $m_\alpha$ and the assumption that $d(\mathcal{K}_{n-1})=0$ to obtain (3.39).

Assume that $p\in S_\alpha$. In all cases below, we can choose $\vec{j}=0,\vec{k}=\vec{i}$ and choose $\mu,\nu$ as follows so that (3.41) can be satisfied (where $j,k,l\in\mathbb{Z}$ are suitable integers to guarantee $c_1\neq 0, m_\mu=0, m_\nu<m_\alpha$),

$$\mu=j_{[p]}+k_{[\overline{p}]},\ \ \nu=\alpha+(1-j)_{[p]}+(1-k)_{[\overline{p}]} \quad \text{if} \quad (\alpha_p,\alpha_{\overline{p}})\neq(-1,-1), \tag{3.42}$$

$$\mu = k\sigma_p,\ \nu = \alpha - k\sigma_p \quad \text{if} \quad \alpha_0 \neq 0,\ (\alpha_p, \alpha_{\overline{p}}) = (-1,-1), \tag{3.43}$$

$$\left\{\begin{array}{l} \mu = j_{[q]} + k_{[\overline{q}]} + l\sigma_p,\ \nu = \alpha + (1-j)_{[q]} + (1-k)_{[\overline{q}]} - l\sigma_p \\ \qquad \text{if } \alpha_0 = 0,\ (\alpha_p, \alpha_{\overline{p}}) = (-1,-1) \\ \qquad \text{and } \exists\, q \in I_{1,3} \backslash \{p\} \text{ with } (\alpha_q, \alpha_{\overline{q}}) \neq (-1,-1), \end{array}\right. \tag{3.44}$$

$$\left\{\begin{array}{l} \mu = 2_{[0]},\ \nu = \alpha - 2_{[0]} \\ \qquad \text{if } \Gamma_0 \neq \{0\}, \alpha_0 = 0, \vartheta(\alpha, \vec{i}) \neq 4,\ \alpha_q = -1 \text{ for all } q \in J_{1,3}. \end{array}\right. \tag{3.45}$$

Now we are left the following two cases:

$$\Gamma_0 \neq \{0\}, \alpha_0 = 0, \vartheta(\alpha, \vec{i}) = 4,\ \alpha_q = -1 \text{ for all } q \in J_{1,3}. \tag{3.46}$$

$$\Gamma_0 = \{0\},\ \alpha_q = -1 \text{ for all } q \in J_{1,3}. \tag{3.47}$$

Suppose we are in case (3.46). If $x^\beta$ appears in $u$ with nonzero coefficient, and $(\beta_p, \beta_{\overline{p}}) \neq (\alpha_p, \alpha_{\overline{p}})$ for some $p \in I_{1,3}$, then (3.36) and (3.46) show that $(\beta_p, \beta_{\overline{p}}) = (0,0)$, while $(\alpha_p, \alpha_{\overline{p}}) = (-1,-1)$. Let $m$ be the number of such $p$'s, then (3.30) and (3.33) show that

$$\vartheta(\beta, 0) = \vartheta(\alpha, 0) + 2m = \vartheta(\alpha, \vec{i}) + 2m. \tag{3.48}$$

(Recall definition of $\vartheta(\alpha, \vec{i})$ in (3.3).) But $u$ is also an eigenvector for $(\text{ad}\, x^{2_{[0]}})(\text{ad}\, x^{-2_{[0]}})$ (cf. Claims 4 and 5) with eigenvalue equal to

$$-4(2 - \vartheta(\alpha, \vec{i}))(4 - \vartheta(\alpha, \vec{i})) = -4(2 - \vartheta(\beta, 0))(4 - \vartheta(\beta, 0)), \tag{3.49}$$

(cf. (3.2)), from this we obtain $\vartheta(\alpha, \vec{i}) = \vartheta(\beta, 0)$ or $\vartheta(\alpha, \vec{i}) + \vartheta(\beta, 0) = 6$, and we get a contradiction from this and (3.46), (3.48). Thus we must have $\beta = \alpha$, i.e., (3.39) holds in case (3.46). Now suppose we are in case (3.47). Then we have $x^{\alpha, \vec{i}} = c_1 [x^{k\sigma_p, 1_{[0]}}, x^{\alpha - k\sigma_p, \vec{i}}]$ for some $c_1 \neq 0, k \in \mathbb{Z}$ so that (3.41) is satisfied. This completes the proof of Statement 1.

Now we prove $d(x^{\alpha, \vec{i}}) = 0$ by considering the following cases.

*Case 1*: $\Gamma_0 \neq \{0\}$ and $\vec{i} = \vec{i}_{J_6}$ (i.e., $n = 0$).

We want to prove

$$c_{\alpha+\beta, \vec{i}+\vec{j}} = c_{\alpha, \vec{i}} + c_{\beta, \vec{j}} \quad \text{for} \quad \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma \ \text{ and } \ \vec{i} = \vec{i}_{J_6}, \vec{j} = \vec{j}_{J_6} \in J, \tag{3.50}$$

We define

$$\phi_0(\alpha, \vec{i}, \beta, \vec{j}) = (2 - \vartheta(\alpha, \vec{i}))\beta_0 - \alpha_0(2 - \vartheta(\beta, \vec{j})). \tag{3.51}$$

Applying $d$ to (3.2), and comparing the coefficients of $x^{\alpha+\beta, \vec{i}+\vec{j}}$ in both sides, we obtain that (3.50) holds if $\phi_0(\alpha, \vec{i}, \beta, \vec{j}) \neq 0$. Assume that $\phi_0(\alpha, \vec{i}, \beta, \vec{j}) = 0$. If $\alpha_0, \beta_0 \neq 0$, then we can always choose $\gamma \in \Gamma$, such that

$$\phi_0(\alpha + \gamma, \vec{i}, \beta - \gamma, \vec{j}),\ \phi_0(\alpha, \vec{i}, \gamma, 0),\ \phi_0(\beta, \vec{j}, -\gamma, 0),\ \phi_0(\gamma, 0, -\gamma, 0) \neq 0, \tag{3.52}$$

so we have (note that $c_{0,0} = 0$ since $d(1) = 0$)

$$\begin{aligned} c_{\alpha+\beta, \vec{i}+\vec{j}} &= c_{(\alpha+\gamma)+(\beta-\gamma), \vec{i}+\vec{j}} = c_{\alpha+\gamma, \vec{i}} + c_{\beta-\gamma, \vec{j}} \\ &= c_{\alpha, \vec{i}} + c_{\beta, \vec{j}} + c_{\gamma, 0} + c_{-\gamma, 0} \\ &= c_{\alpha, \vec{i}} + c_{\beta, \vec{j}} + c_{\gamma+(-\gamma), 0} = c_{\alpha, \vec{i}} + c_{\beta, \vec{j}}, \end{aligned} \tag{3.53}$$

i.e., (3.50) holds if $\alpha_0, \beta_0 \neq 0$. If $\alpha_0 \neq 0 = \beta_0$, we can choose $\gamma \in \Gamma$ such that $\alpha_0 \neq \gamma_0 \neq 0$, so

$$\begin{aligned} c_{\alpha,\vec{i}} + c_{\beta,\vec{j}} &= c_{\alpha,\vec{i}} + c_{(\beta-\gamma)+\gamma,\vec{j}} = c_{\alpha,\vec{i}} + c_{\beta-\gamma,\vec{j}} + c_{\gamma,0} \\ &= c_{\alpha+\beta-\gamma,\vec{i}+\vec{j}} + c_{\gamma,0} = c_{\alpha+\beta,\vec{i}+\vec{j}} + c_{-\gamma,0} + c_{\gamma,0} = c_{\alpha+\beta,\vec{i}+\vec{j}}, \end{aligned}$$

i.e., (3.50) holds if $\alpha_0 \neq 0 = \beta_0$. If $\alpha_0 = \beta_0 = 0$, choose $\gamma \in \Gamma$ such that $\gamma_0 \neq 0$, then we have all equalities of (3.53). Hence (3.50) holds in this case. Now (3.50) gives

$$c_{\alpha,\vec{i}} = c_{\alpha,0} + \sum_{p \in J_6} i_p c_{0,1_{[p]}} \quad \text{for} \quad \alpha \in \Gamma \;\text{ and }\; \vec{i} = \vec{i}_{J_6} \in J, \tag{3.54}$$

But (3.53), (3.21) and (3.25) show that $2c_{0,1_{[p]}} = c_{0,2_{[p]}} = 0$ for $p \in J_6$. Thus $c_{\alpha,\vec{i}} = c_{\alpha,0}$ and (3.50) shows that $\mu : \alpha \mapsto c_{\alpha,0}$ defines an element $\mu \in \mathrm{Hom}'_{\mathbb{Z}}(\Gamma, \mathbb{F})$, and by substituting $d$ by $d - d_\mu$, we can suppose $c_{\alpha,0} = 0$ for all $\alpha \in \Gamma$, i.e., we proved that $d(x^{\alpha,\vec{i}}) = 0$ for all $\alpha \in \Gamma$, $\vec{i} = \vec{i}_{J_6} \in J$.

*Case 2*: $\Gamma_0 = \{0\}, \vec{i} = \vec{i}_{\hat{J}_6}$ (i.e., $n = 0$).

Applying $d$ to $[1, x^{\alpha,\vec{i}}] = 2i_0 x^{\alpha,\vec{i}-1_{[0]}}$ we obtain by induction on $i_0$ that $c_{\alpha,\vec{i}} = c_{\alpha,\vec{i}_{J_6}}$. Applying $d$ to (3.2) and comparing coefficient of $x^{\alpha+\beta,\vec{i}+\vec{j}-1_{[0]}}$, we obtain $c_{\alpha,\vec{i}} + c_{\beta,\vec{j}} = c_{\alpha+\beta,\vec{i}+\vec{j}}$ if $(2-\vartheta(\alpha,\vec{i}))j_0 - i_0(2-\vartheta(\beta,\vec{j})) \neq 0$. But $i_0, j_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ are arbitrary, we have $c_{\alpha,\vec{i}} + c_{\beta,\vec{j}} = c_{\alpha+\beta,\vec{i}+\vec{j}}$ if $(\vartheta(\alpha,\vec{i}), \vartheta(\beta,\vec{j})) \neq (2,2)$. The rest of the proof is the same as in Case 1.

*Case 3*: $\Gamma_0 \neq \{0\}$ and $n > 0$.

Note that a general element $x^{a\,\vec{i}}$ can be generated by the set

$$S = \{x^{\beta,\vec{j}}, t_p \,|\, \beta \in \Gamma,\ \vec{j} = \vec{j}_{J_6},\ \ p \in \overline{I}_2 \cup J_3 \cup I_5\}. \tag{3.55}$$

Recall (3.39). By replacing $d$ by $d - \sum_{p \in \overline{I}_2 \cup J_3 \cup I_5} c_{0,1_{[p]}} \partial_{t_p}$, we can suppose $d(t_p) = 0$ for $p \in \overline{I}_2 \cup J_3 \cup I_5$ (this replacement does not affect the fact that $d(x^{\alpha,\vec{i}}) = 0$ for $a \in \Gamma$, $\vec{i} = \vec{i}_{J_6}$). Thus $d(S) = 0$ and so $d(x^{\alpha,\vec{i}}) = 0$.

*Case 4*: $\Gamma_0 = \{0\}$ and $n > 0$.

Similar to Case 3, a general element $x^{\alpha,\vec{i}}$ can be generated by $S' = \{x^{\beta,\vec{j}}, t_p \,|\, \beta \in \Gamma,\ \vec{j} = \vec{j}_{\hat{J}_6},\ \ p \in \overline{I}_2 \cup J_3 \cup I_5\}$. The rest of the proof of this case is exactly the same as that of Case 3.

This proves Claim 7 and Theorem 3.1. ∎

## 4. Second cohomology groups

In this section, we shall determine the second cohomology groups of the contact Lie algebra $\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{K}(\vec{\ell}, \Gamma)$. Recall that a *2-cocycle* on $\mathcal{K}$ is an $\mathbb{F}$-bilinear function $\psi : \mathcal{K} \times \mathcal{K} \to \mathbb{F}$ satisfying the following conditions:

$$\psi(v_1, v_2) = -\psi(v_2, v_1) \quad \text{(skew-symmetry)}, \tag{4.1}$$

$$\psi([v_1, v_2], v_3) + \psi([v_2, v_3], v_1) + \psi([v_3, v_1], v_2) = 0 \quad \text{(Jacobian identity)}, \tag{4.2}$$

for $v_1, v_2, v_3 \in \mathcal{K}$. Denote by $C^2(\mathcal{K}, \mathbb{F})$ the vector space of 2-cocycles on $\mathcal{K}$. For any $\mathbb{F}$-linear function $f : \mathcal{K} \to \mathbb{F}$, one can define a 2-cocycle $\psi_f$ as follows

$$\psi_f(v_1, v_2) = f([v_1, v_2]) \quad \text{for} \quad v_1, v_2 \in \mathcal{K}. \tag{4.3}$$

Such a 2-cocycle is called a *2-coboundary* or a *trivial 2-cocycle* on $\mathcal{K}$. Denote by $B^2(\mathcal{K},\mathbb{F})$ the vector space of 2-coboundaries on $\mathcal{K}$. A 2-cocycle $\phi$ is said to be *equivalent to* a 2-cocycle $\psi$ if $\phi-\psi$ is trivial. For a 2-cocycle $\psi$, we denote by $[\psi]$ the equivalent class of $\psi$. The quotient space

$$H^2(\mathcal{K},\mathbb{F})=C^2(\mathcal{K},\mathbb{F})/B^2(\mathcal{K},\mathbb{F})=\{\text{the equivalent classes of 2-cocycles}\}, \tag{4.4}$$

is called the *second cohomology group* of $\mathcal{K}$.

**Lemma 4.1**. *If $\mathcal{J}_0=\mathbb{N}$ or $\iota_6\neq\ell_1$, then $H^2(\mathcal{K},\mathbb{F})=0$.*

*Proof.* Let $\psi$ be a 2-cocycle. Say $\ell_2\neq 0$ (from the proof below, one sees that the proof is exactly similar if $\mathcal{J}_0=\mathbb{N}$ or $\ell_i\neq 0$ for some $i\neq 1,2$). Fix $p\in I_2$ and define a linear function $f$ by induction on $i_{\overline{p}}$ as follows:

$$f(x^{\alpha,\vec{i}})=\begin{cases}(\alpha_{\overline{p}}-\alpha)^{-1}(\psi(x^{-\sigma_p},x^{\alpha,\vec{i}})-i_{\overline{p}}f(x^{\alpha,\vec{i}-1_{[\overline{p}]}})) & \text{if } \ \alpha_{\overline{p}}\neq\alpha_p,\\ (i_{\overline{p}}+1)^{-1}\psi(x^{-\sigma_p},x^{\alpha,\vec{i}+1_{[\overline{p}]}}) & \text{if } \ \alpha_{\overline{p}}=\alpha_p,\end{cases} \tag{4.5}$$

for $(\alpha,\vec{i})\in\Gamma\times\mathcal{J}$. Set $\phi=\psi-\psi_f$. Then (3.5), (4.3) and (4.5) show that

$$\phi(x^{-\sigma_p},x^{\alpha,\vec{i}})=0 \quad \text{for} \quad (\alpha,\vec{i})\in\Gamma\times\mathcal{J}. \tag{4.6}$$

Using Jacobian identity (4.2), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}0&=\phi(x^{-\sigma_p},[x^{\alpha,\vec{i}},x^{\beta,\vec{j}}])\\ &=(\alpha_{\overline{p}}+\beta_{\overline{p}}-\alpha_p-\beta_p)\phi(x^{\alpha,\vec{i}},x^{\beta,\vec{j}})\\ &\quad+i_{\overline{p}}\phi(x^{\alpha,\vec{i}-1_{[\overline{p}]}},x^{\beta,\vec{j}})+j_{\overline{p}}\phi(x^{\alpha,\vec{i}},x^{\beta,\vec{j}-1_{[\overline{p}]}}),\end{aligned} \tag{4.7}$$

for $(\alpha,\vec{i})$, $(\beta,\vec{j})\in\Gamma\times\mathcal{J}$. If $\alpha_{\overline{p}}+\beta_{\overline{p}}\neq\alpha_p+\beta_p$, by induction on $i_{\overline{p}}+j_{\overline{p}}$, we obtain $\phi(x^{\alpha,\vec{i}},x^{\beta,\vec{j}})=0$. Otherwise (4.7) gives

$$\phi(x^{\alpha,\vec{i}},x^{\beta,\vec{j}})=-j_{\overline{p}}(i_{\overline{p}}+1)^{-1}\phi(x^{\alpha,\vec{i}+1_{[\overline{p}]}},x^{\beta,\vec{j}-1_{[\overline{p}]}}), \tag{4.8}$$

and by induction on $j_{\overline{p}}$, we again have $\phi(x^{\alpha,\vec{i}},x^{\beta,\vec{j}})=0$. Thus $\phi=0$. ∎

From now on, we assume that $\mathcal{J}_0=\{0\}$ and $\iota_6=\ell_1$. Denote

$$\sigma=\sum_{p\in I_1}\sigma_p=(0,-1,-1,...,-1)\in\mathbb{F}^{1+2\ell_1}, \tag{4.9}$$

as in (2.19). Suppose $\psi$ is a 2-cocycle. We define a linear function $f$ as follows: First for $\alpha\in\Gamma\backslash\{\sigma\}$ with $\alpha_0=0$, we define

$$p_\alpha=\min\{p\in I_1\,|\,(\alpha_p,\alpha_{\overline{p}})\neq(-1,-1)\}. \tag{4.10}$$

Then we set

$$f(x^\alpha)=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}(4(2+\ell_1))^{-1}\psi(x^{-2_{[0]}},x^{2_{[0]}+\sigma}) & \text{if } \ \alpha=\sigma,\\ (2\alpha_0)^{-1}\psi(1,x^\alpha) & \text{if } \ \alpha_0\neq 0,\\ (\alpha_{\overline{p}}-\alpha_p)^{-1}\psi(x^{-\sigma_p},x^\alpha) & \text{if } \ \alpha\neq\sigma,\ \alpha_0=0,\alpha_p\neq\alpha_{\overline{p}},\\ -(2(\alpha_{\overline{p}}+1))^{-1}\psi(x^{-2_{[p]}},x^{\alpha-1_{[p]}+1_{[\overline{p}]}}) & \\ \qquad\qquad\qquad \text{if } \ \alpha\neq\sigma,\ \alpha_0=0,\alpha_p=\alpha_{\overline{p}}\neq -1, & \end{array}\right. \tag{4.11}$$

where $p = p_\alpha$. Set $\phi = \psi - \psi_f$.

**Lemma 4.2**. *For $a \in \Gamma$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned}
\phi(1, x^\alpha) &= 0, && && (4.12)\\
\phi(x^{-\sigma_p}, x^\alpha) &= 0 && \textit{for} \quad p \in I_1, && (4.13)\\
\phi(x^{2_{[q]}}, x^\alpha) &= 0 && \textit{for} \quad q \in J_1. && (4.14)
\end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* If $\alpha_0 \neq 0$, by definition, we have $\phi(1, x^\alpha) = \psi(1, x^\alpha) - f([1, x^\alpha]) = 0$. If $\alpha_0 = 0$, since $\mathcal{K} = [\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{K}]$, we can always write $x^\alpha$ as a linear combination of $[x^\beta, x^\gamma]$ such that $\beta_0 + \gamma_0 = 0$. Using Jacobian identity (4.2), we have $\phi(1, [x^\beta, x^\gamma]) = (\beta_0 + \gamma_0)\phi(x^\beta, x^\gamma) = 0$. This proves (4.12). For any $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$, from (4.12), we obtain $0 = \phi(1, [x^\alpha, x^\beta]) = (\alpha_0 + \beta_0)\phi(x^\alpha, x^\beta)$, thus

$$\phi(x^\alpha, x^\beta) = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma \quad \text{with} \quad \alpha_0 + \beta_0 \neq 0. \tag{4.15}$$

Consider (4.13). We can suppose $\alpha_0 = 0$ by (4.15). If $\alpha_p = \alpha_{\overline{p}}$, we have (4.13) by writing $x^\alpha$ as a linear combination of $[x^\beta, x^\gamma]$ with $\beta_p + \gamma_p = \beta_{\overline{p}} + \gamma_{\overline{p}}$ and using (4.2). Assume $\alpha_p \neq \alpha_{\overline{p}}$. Then $p_a \leq p$. If $p = p_\alpha$, we have (4.13) by definition (4.11). If $r := p_a < p$, then either $x^\alpha = (\alpha_{\overline{r}} - \alpha_r)^{-1}[x^{-\sigma_r}, x^\alpha]$ (if $\alpha_{\overline{r}} \neq \alpha_r$) or $x^\alpha = (2(\alpha_{\overline{r}} + 1))^{-1}[x^{2_{[r]}}, x^{\alpha - 1_{[r]} + 1_{[\overline{r}]}}]$ (if $\alpha_r = \alpha_{\overline{r}} \neq -1$), and we have (4.13) by Jacobian identity (4.2) and definition of $f(x^\alpha)$ in (4.11). This proves (4.13). As in (4.15), we have

$$\phi(x^\alpha, x^\beta) = 0 \quad \text{if} \quad a_p + \beta_p \neq \alpha_{\overline{p}} + \beta_{\overline{p}} \quad \text{for some} \quad p \in I_1. \tag{4.16}$$

Consider (4.14). First suppose $q \in I_1$. If $\alpha_{\overline{q}} = 0$, then as above by writing $x^\alpha$ as a sum of the form $[x^\beta, x^\gamma]$ with $\beta_{\overline{q}} = \gamma_{\overline{q}} = 0$, we have (4.14). Assume $\alpha_{\overline{q}} \neq 0$. Then $p_{\alpha + 1_{[q]} - 1_{[\overline{q}]}} \leq q$. By (4.15)-(4.16) and the definition of $f(x^{\alpha + 1_{[q]} - 1_{[\overline{q}]}})$, using the same arguments as above, we have (4.14). Finally suppose $q = \overline{p} \in \overline{I}_1$. We can assume that $\alpha_0 = 0, \alpha_r = \alpha_{\overline{r}} = -1$ for $r \in I_1 \backslash \{p\}$ and $\alpha_p = \alpha_{\overline{p}} + 2$ and $\alpha_p = -1$ (otherwise either we can use (4.15)-(4.16) to obtain (4.14) or we can write $x^\alpha$ as $[x^{2_{[r]}}, y]$ for some $r \in I_1, y \in \mathcal{K}$ and use (4.2), (4.13) to obtain (4.14)). But then $x^\alpha$ is a multiple of $[x^{-2_{[0]}}, x^{2_{[0]} + \alpha}]$ and $[x^{2_{[\overline{p}]}}, x^{-2_{[0]} + \alpha}]$ is a multiple of $x^{-2_{[0]} + \sigma}$, and we can use (4.2) and the first case of (4.11) to obtain (4.14). This proves the lemma.

**Lemma 4.3**. *We have $\phi(x^{i_{[p]} + (2-i)_{[\overline{p}]}}, x^\alpha) = 0$ for all $\alpha \in \Gamma, i \in \mathbb{Z}, p \in I_1$.*

*Proof.* We have the result for $i = 0, 1, 2$ by (4.13)-(4.14). The general result can be obtained by writing $x^{i_{[p]} + (2-i)_{[\overline{p}]}}$ as a multiple of the form $[x^{2_{[r]}}, x^{(k_{[p]} + (2-k)_{[\overline{p}]}}]$ for some $r = p, \overline{p}$ and some $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $|k| < |i|$, and using (4.2) and by induction on $|i|$. ∎

**Lemma 4.4**. *We have $\phi(x^\alpha, x^\beta) = \delta_{\alpha+\beta,\sigma}\, c_\alpha$ for all $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ and some $c_\alpha \in \mathbb{F}$.*

*Proof.* Assume that $\phi(x^\alpha, x^\beta) \neq 0$. First we prove

$$\alpha_p = \alpha_{\overline{p}} = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \beta_p = \beta_{\overline{p}} = -1 \quad \text{for} \quad p \in I_1. \tag{4.17}$$

Suppose $\alpha_{\overline{p}} = 0, \beta_{\overline{p}} \neq -1$, then $[x^{2_{[p]}}, x^\alpha] = 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= \phi([x^{2_{[p]}}, x^\alpha], x^{\beta - 1_{[p]} + 1_{[\overline{p}]}})\\
&= -\phi(x^\alpha, [x^{2_{[p]}}, x^{\beta - 1_{[p]} + 1_{[\overline{p}]}}]) = -(\beta_{\overline{p}} + 1)\phi(x^\alpha, x^\beta),
\end{aligned} \tag{4.18}$$

which is a contradiction with the assumption that $\phi(x^\alpha, x^\beta) \neq 0$. Thus $\alpha_{\overline{p}} = 0$ implies $\beta_{\overline{p}} = -1$. Similarly $\alpha_p = 0$ implies $\beta_p = -1$, i.e., we have (4.17). Now set

$$S = \{x^\alpha \mid \alpha \in \Gamma,\ (\alpha_p, \alpha_{\overline{p}}) \neq 0,\ \alpha_p + \alpha_{\overline{p}} \neq 0, -1, -2 \ \text{ for all } \ p \in I_1\}, \tag{4.19}$$

First assume that $x^a \in S$. By (4.15)-(4.16), we can suppose

$$\alpha_0 + \beta_0 = 0, \quad \alpha_p + \beta_p = \alpha_{\overline{p}} + \beta_{\overline{p}} \quad \text{for} \quad p \in I_1. \tag{4.20}$$

By Lemma 4.3 and Jacobian identity (4.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} -4(\alpha_p + 1)\alpha_{\overline{p}}\phi(x^\alpha, x^\beta) &= \phi([x^{2_{[\overline{p}]}}, [x^{2_{[p]}}, x^\alpha]], x^\beta) \\ &= \phi(x^\alpha, [x^{2_{[p]}}, [x^{2_{[\overline{p}]}}, x^\beta]]) \\ &= -4(\beta_{\overline{p}} + 1)\beta_p \phi(x^\alpha, x^\beta), \end{aligned} \tag{4.21}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & 4(-(\alpha_p - 2) - 3(\alpha_p + 2))(\alpha_{\overline{p}} - 1)\alpha_{\overline{p}}\phi(x^\alpha, x^\beta) \\ = \ & \phi([x^{-1_{[p]}+3_{[\overline{p}]}}, [x^{2_{[p]}}, [x^{2_{[p]}}, x^\alpha]]], x^\beta) \\ = \ & -\phi(x^\alpha, [x^{2_{[p]}}, [x^{2_{[p]}}, [x^{-1_{[p]}+3_{[\overline{p}]}}, x^\beta]]]) \\ = \ & -4(\beta_{\overline{p}} + 1)(\beta_{\overline{p}} + 2)(-\beta_{\overline{p}} - 3\beta_p)\phi(x^\alpha, x^\beta), \end{aligned} \tag{4.22}$$

for $p \in I_1$. If $\phi(x^\alpha, x^\beta) \neq 0$, from (4.17), (4.20)-(4.22) and the fact that $\alpha \in S$, we obtain $\alpha_p + \beta_p = -1$ for all $p \in J_1$, i.e., $\alpha + \beta = \sigma$. Thus we have the result of Lemma 3.4 in the case $\alpha \in S$. The general result of Lemma 4.4 follows from Jacobian identity (4.2) and the fact that $S$ is a generating set of $\mathcal{K}$ (the proof of Statement 1 in Section 3 shows that $S$ is a generating set of $\mathcal{K}$). ∎

**Lemma 4.5**. *We have $c_\alpha = 0$ for $\alpha \in \Gamma$.*

*Proof.* Fix $p \in I_1$ (note that we require at the beginning of Section 2 that $\iota_6 > 0$, i.e., $\ell_1 > 0$ by the assumption $\iota_6 = \ell_1$) and take $\beta = 1_{[0]} + 1_{[\overline{p}]}$. For any $\alpha \in \Gamma$ with $\alpha_p \neq 0$, considering $\phi([x^\alpha, x^\beta], x^{-\alpha-\beta+\sigma-\sigma_p})$ and using (4.2), we have

$$(\alpha_p\beta_{\overline{p}} - \alpha_{\overline{p}}\beta_p)c_{\alpha+\beta+\sigma_p} = (\alpha_p\beta_{\overline{p}} - \alpha_{\overline{p}}\beta_p)c_\alpha + (\alpha_p\beta_{\overline{p}} - \alpha_{\overline{p}}\beta_p)c_\beta, \tag{4.23}$$

that is

$$c_{\alpha+\beta+\sigma_p} = c_\alpha + c_\beta. \tag{4.24}$$

Now considering $\phi([x^\alpha, x^{\beta+\sigma_p}], x^{-\alpha-\beta+\sigma})$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &(2 - \vartheta(\alpha, 0) - 3\alpha_0)c_{\alpha+\beta+\sigma_p} \\ &= (-3(\alpha_0 + 1) - (1 + \vartheta(\alpha, 0) + 2\ell_1))c_\alpha \\ &-((2 - \vartheta(\alpha, 0))(-\alpha_0 - 1) - \alpha_0(1 + \vartheta(\alpha, 0) + 2\ell_1))c_{\beta+\sigma_p}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.25}$$

Using (4.24) in (4.25), we obtain that

$$2(3 + \ell_1)c_\alpha = (2 - \vartheta(\alpha, 0) - 3\alpha_0)c_\beta - (\alpha_0(3 + 2\ell_1) + 2 - \vartheta(\alpha, 0))c_{\beta+\sigma_p}. \tag{4.26}$$

Setting $\alpha = \sigma$ (then $\alpha_p \neq 0$), by skew-symmetry (4.1) and the second case of (4.11), we have $c_\sigma = -c_0 = 0$, we obtain $c_\beta = c_{\beta+\sigma_p}$. Thus (4.26) becomes

$$c_\alpha = \alpha_0 c_\beta, \tag{4.27}$$

holds for all $\alpha \in \Gamma$ with $\alpha_p \neq 0$. If $\alpha_p = 0$, then $(-\alpha + \sigma)_p \neq 0$ and by skew-symmetry (4.1), we have $c_\alpha = -c_{-\alpha+\sigma_p} = -(-\alpha_0 c_\beta) = \alpha_0 c_\beta$, i.e., (4.27) holds for all $\alpha \in \Gamma$. But the first case of (4.11) and (4.27) show that $0 = c_{-2_{[0]}} = -2c_\beta$, and so (4.27) gives that $c_\alpha = 0$ for all $\alpha \in \Gamma$. ∎

The above lemmas have proved the following theorem.

**Theorem 4.6**. $H^2(\mathcal{K}, \mathbb{F}) = 0$. ∎

## References

[1] R. Farnsteiner, "Derivations and central extensions of finitely generated graded Lie algebras," *J. Algebra* **118** (1988), 33-45.

[2] V. G. Kac, "A description of filtered Lie algebras whose associated graded Lie algebras are of Cartan types," *Math. of USSR-Izvestijia* **8** (1974), 801-835.

[3] V. G. Kac, "Lie superalgebras," *Adv. Math.* **26** (1977), 8-96.

[4] V. G. Kac, *Infinite Dimensional Lie Algebras*, 3rd ed.; Combridge Univ. Press, 1990.

[5] J. M. Osborn, "New simple infinite-dimensional Lie algebras of characteristic 0," *J. Algebra* **185** (1996), 820-835.

[6] J. M. Osborn, K. Zhao, "Generalized Cartan type $K$ Lie algebras in characteristic 0," *Commun. Algebra* **25** (1997), 3325-3360.

[7] Y. Su, X. Xu, "Structure of contact Lie algebras related to locally-finite derivations," *Manuscripta Math.* **112** (2003), 231-257.

[8] Y. Su, X. Xu and H. Zhang, "Derivation-simple algebras and the structures of Lie algebras of Witt type," *J. Algebra* **233** (2000), 642-662.

[9] X. Xu, "New generalized simple Lie algebras of Cartan type over a field with characteristic 0," *J. Algebra* **224** (2000), 23-58.

[10] X. Xu, "Quadratic conformal superalgebras," *J. Algebra* **231** (2000), 1-38.